



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/623,946	11/03/2000		Josef Laumen	1324	2110	
75	90	09/20/2005		EXAMINER		
Striker Striker 103 East Neck		CHAUDRY, MUJTABA M				
Huntington, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,				2133		

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		) H					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/623,946	LAUMEN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mujtaba K. Chaudry	2133					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE STATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE STATE OF THE	ON. It is timely filed  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	18 July 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□							
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice und	der Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-12</u> is/are pending in t	he application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected to by th	e Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	prrection is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	nents have been received.						
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>	·	<del></del>					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	ived in this National Stage					
application from the International Bu	, ,,						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not recei	ved.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail						
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/12/2000.</li> </ol>		al Patent Application (PTO-152)					

M

Application/Control Number: 09/623,946

Art Unit: 2133

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on September 12, 2000 and April 23, 2001 are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the Examiner has considered the information disclosure statements.

#### Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments/amendments with respect to pending claims 1-8 and 10-12 filed July 18, 2005 have been fully considered but are not persuasive. The Examiner would like to point out that this action is made final (See MPEP 706.07a).

Applicant contends, "...Gordon (prior art of record) does not mention the fire code in the context of variable redundancy..." The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Gordon teaches an arrayed disk drive system for providing memory to a computer, said arrayed system having a plurality of disk drives configured to form an array, said arrayed disk drives accessed by a plurality of channels, each channel accessing a plurality of disk drives, including a means for controlling the logical configuration of the arrayed disk drives to appear to the computer as any conceivable arrangement of disk drives, whereby the arrayed disk drive may appear to the computer as the plurality of disk drives, or as one large disk drive comprised of all the arrayed disk drives, or any combination in between. A means for providing a plurality of levels of redundancy on data read or written by the computer to the arrayed disk drives is provided, as well as means controlled by the controlling means for enabling from none to the plurality of

Art Unit: 2133

levels of redundancy to operate on data being read or written from the arrayed disk drives. Furthermore, Gordon teaches (col. 16) the HISR process block 72 is the host computer 32 interrupt service routine process. The HISR process 72 does all the handshaking with the host. The HISR send input/output parameter blocks (IOPB) 74 from the host to the HTASK along with some control signals. The HTASK process block 76 is the primary process block. It handles all input/output (write/read) requests from the host 32. It is also responsible for: (1) implementing variable redundancy schemes, (2) striping blocks of data in 512, 1024, etc. block distributions, (3) mapping logical disk memory to the physical disk drives 14, (4) performing the redundancy operation, queuing excess IOPBs, (5) accessing spare disk drives 15, and (6) allocating microprocessor memory 42 to resemble a physical sector when that physical sector is removed or defective.

Furthermore, the Examiner would like to point out that, according to the claims of the present application, the variable redundancy depends on the variable C, which can be freely set. Hence, Wicker teaches this aspect and therefor variable redundancy is inherent for fire codes since C is a variable and can be freely set.

The Examiner disagrees with the Applicant and maintains rejections with respect to pending claims 1-8 and 10-12. All arguments have been considered. It is the Examiner's conclusion that pending claims 1-8 and 10-12, as presented, are not patentably distinct or non-obvious over the prior art of record. See prior office action:

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-8 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stephen B. Wicker (Error Control Systems – ISBN 0132008092) further in view of Gordon et al. (USPN 5148432).

As per claims 1, 3, 6-7 and 10-12, Wicker teaches (text: pages 437-440) encoding and decoding data using Fire codes. Wicker teaches (p. 437, paragraphs 2-3) Fire codes that are capable of correcting a single burst in a variable-length code word as stated in the present application. Wicker teaches (p. 438) coding data with a fire code of generator polynomial,  $G(x) = (x^{(2b-1)} + 1) *g(x)$  where g(x) is a irreducible polynomial of degree m and the value of b may be free set within predetermined limits as stated in the present application. Applicant uses the polynomial  $G(x) = (x^{(c)} + 1) *P(x)$  and states in the specification (p. 7 of application) that c = 2b -1.

Wicker does not explicitly teach the variable "c" in the irreducible polynomial to be changeable so that the variable redundancy can be obtained as stated in the present application.

Art Unit: 2133

However, Gordon et al. (herein after Gordon), in an analogous art teaches (title and abstract) an arrayed disk drive system for providing memory to a computer, wherein the arrayed system has a plurality of disk drives configured to form an array. The arrayed disk drives are accessed by a plurality of channels, each channel accessing a plurality of disk drives, including a means for controlling the logical configuration of the arrayed disk drives to appear to the computer as any conceivable arrangement of disk drives, whereby the arrayed disk drive may appear to the computer as the plurality of disk drives, or as one large disk drive comprised of all the arrayed disk drives, or any combination in between. Particularly, Gordon teaches a means for providing a plurality of levels of redundancy on data read or written by the computer to the arrayed disk drives is provided, as well as means controlled by the controlling means for enabling from none to the plurality of levels of redundancy to operate on data being read or written from the arrayed disk drives. Gordon teaches (col. 7, lines 55-65) the parity or error detection and correction scheme used by the embedded SCSI controller is referred to as Fire code. Fire code is a mathematical code for detecting and correcting short bursts of errors within a very long field. The fire code generator, a microprocessor and a microprocessor memory are all located within the disk drive 14 itself. Furthermore, Gordon teaches (col. 16-17) to implement variable redundant schemes.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporated adaptability for Fire Codes of Gordon within the teachings of Wicker to form the present method and apparatus. This modification would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that by varying the value of "C" in the irreducible polynomial

Art Unit: 2133

of the fire code would have enhanced the error detecting/correcting capability since the system would be adaptive.

As per claims 2, 4 and 5, Wicker teaches (p. 438-439) the technique to calculate the value for 2b-1, which is equivalent to c in the present application. Wicker also teaches (p. 437) a disk register whose length can be set to b, wherein b can be less than m as stated in the present application.

As per claim 8, Wicker teaches (p. 440) the Fire decoding operation in which the redundancy properties are incorporated as stated in the present application. In particular, steps 3 and 4 (p. 440) Wicker states if one syndrome is nonzero and the other is zero, then the codeword contains a uncorrectable but detectable error, which is analogous to d in the present application. The equation (d = c + 1 - b) in the present application which may be is interpreted as c = (b + d) - 1 wherein the term b + d represents the bundle error and the detectable error and is incorporated in steps 3 and 4 of Wicker.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mujtaba K. Chaudry whose telephone number is 571-272-3817. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 9-7:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert DeCady can be reached on 571-272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/623,946

Art Unit: 2133

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 8

Mujtaba Chaudry Art Unit 2133

September 7, 2005